



Radar Systems Engineering Lecture 8 Antennas

Part 1 - Basics and Mechanical Scanning

Dr. Robert M. O'Donnell IEEE New Hampshire Section Guest Lecturer

IEEE New Hampshire Section

IEEE AES Society







Radar Systems Course 3 Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

* IEEE Standard Definitions of Terms for Antennas (IEEE STD 145-1983)

- Direct microwave radiation in desired directions, suppress in others
- Designed for optimum gain (directivity) and minimum loss of energy during transmit or receive

Track
Radar
Equation
$$S / N = \frac{P_t G^2 \lambda^2 \sigma}{(4 \pi)^3 R^4 k T_s B_n L}$$
 $G = Gain$
 $A_e = Effective Area$ This
LectureSearch
Radar
Equation $S / N = \frac{P_{av} A_e t_s \sigma}{4 \pi \Omega R^4 k T_s L}$ $G = Gain$
 $A_e = Effective Area$ This
LectureL = Losses $Radar$
Equation $Radar$
Equation $Radar$
Equation

Radar Antennas Come in Many Sizes and Shapes

Electronic Scanning Antenna

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

Mechanical Scanning Antenna

Electronic Scanning Antenna

Photo Courtesy of ITT Corporation Used with Permission

Hybrid Mechanical and Frequency Scanning Antenna

IEEE AES Society

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Basic Concepts
 - Field Regions
 - Near and far field
 - Electromagnetic Field Equations
 - Polarization
 - Antenna Directivity and Gain
 - Antenna Input Impedance
 - Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

Generation of Electromagnetic Fields & Calculation Methodology

- Radiation mechanism
 - Radiation is created by an acceleration of charge or by a time-varying current
 - Acceleration is caused by external forces Transient (pulse) Time-harmonic source (oscillating charge
- EM wave is calculated by integrating source currents on antenna / target
 - Electric currents on conductors or magnetic currents on apertures (transverse electric fields)
- Source currents can be modeled and calculated using numerical techniques
 - (e.g. Method of Moments, Finite Difference-Time Domain Methods)

Antenna and Radar Cross Section Analyses Use "Phasor Representation"

Calculate Phasor :
$$\widetilde{E}(x,y,z) = \hat{e} \left| \widetilde{E}(x,y,z) \right| e^{j\alpha}$$

Instantaneous Harmonic Field is : $\vec{E}(x,y,z;t) = \hat{e} \left| \tilde{E}(x,y,z) \right| \cos(\omega t + \alpha)$

Any Time Variation can be Expressed as a Superposition of Harmonic Solutions by Fourier Analysis

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
 - Basic Concepts
- → − Field Regions
 - Near and far field
 - Electromagnetic Field Equations
 - Polarization
 - Antenna Directivity and Gain
 - Antenna Input Impedance
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

Adapted from Kraus, Reference 6 Radar Systems Course 12 Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010
* IEEE Standard Definitions of Terms for Antennas (IEEE STD 145-1983) IEEE AES Society

Reactive Near-Field Region

- Energy is stored in vicinity of antenna
- Near-field antenna Issues
 - Input impedance
 - Mutual coupling

Far-field (Fraunhofer) Region

 $\mathbf{R} > 2\mathbf{D}^2/\lambda$

- All power is radiated out
- Radiated wave is a plane wave
- **Far-field EM wave properties**
 - **Polarization**
 - Antenna Gain (Directivity)
 - Antenna Pattern

IEEE AES Societv

z

IEEE New Hampshire Section

IEEE AES Society

- In the far-field, a spherical wave can be approximated by a plane wave
- There are no radial field components in the far field
- The electric and magnetic fields are given by:

$$\vec{E}^{\rm ff}(\mathbf{r},\theta,\phi) \cong \vec{E}^{\rm o}(\theta,\phi) \frac{e^{-jkr}}{r}$$

$$\vec{H}^{\rm ff}(\mathbf{r},\theta,\phi) \cong \vec{H}^{\rm o}(\theta,\phi) \frac{e^{-jkr}}{r} = \frac{1}{\eta} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \vec{E}^{\rm ff}$$
where $\eta \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\mu_o}{\epsilon_o}} = 377 \,\Omega$ is the intrinsic impedance of free space
$$\mathbf{k} = 2\pi/\lambda$$
 is the wave propagation constant

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
 - Basic Concepts
 - Field Regions
 - Near and far field
- Electromagnetic Field Equations
 - Polarization
 - Antenna Directivity and Gain
 - Antenna Input Impedance
 - Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

- Plane wave, free space solution to Maxwell's Equations:
 - No Sources
 - Vacuum
 - Non-conducting medium

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}}(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) = \mathbf{E}_{\circ} e^{\mathbf{j}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}-\omega t)}$$
$$\vec{\mathbf{B}}(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) = \mathbf{B}_{\circ} e^{\mathbf{j}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{r}}-\omega t)}$$

- Most electromagnetic waves are generated from localized sources and expand into free space as spherical wave.
- In the far field, when the distance from the source great, they are well approximated by plane waves when they impinge upon a target and scatter energy back to the radar

- Transverse electromagnetic (TEM) mode Magnetic and electric field vectors are transverse (perpendicular) to the direction of propagation, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, and perpendicular to each other Examples (coaxial transmission line and free space TEM Mode transmission, Ē **TEM** transmission lines have two parallel surfaces Transverse electric (TE) mode Electric field, \vec{E} , perpendicular to \hat{k} No electric field in \hat{k} direction Used for Rectangular Waveguides Transverse magnetic (TM) mode Magnetic field, $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$, perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ No magnetic field in $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ direction
- Hybrid transmission modes

- The Poynting Vector, $\vec{S}\,$, is defined as:

$$\vec{\mathbf{S}} \equiv \vec{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{x} \, \vec{\mathbf{H}}$$
 (W/m²)

- It is the power density (power per unit area) carried by an electromagnetic wave
- Since both \vec{E} and \vec{H} are functions of time, the average power density is of greater interest, and is given by:

$$\left\langle \vec{\mathbf{S}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\vec{\mathbf{E}} \times \vec{\mathbf{H}}^* \right)$$

• For a plane wave in a lossless medium

$$\left<\vec{S}\right> = \frac{1}{2\eta} \left|\vec{E}\right|^2 \equiv W_{AV} \qquad \qquad \text{where} \quad \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_o}{\epsilon_o}}$$

IEEE AES Society

• **Radiation Intensity = Power radiated per unit solid angle**

$$\begin{split} U(\theta, \phi) &\cong r^2 W_{rad}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{r^2}{2\eta} \Big| \vec{E}(r, \theta, \phi) \Big|^2 \\ &\cong \frac{r^2}{2\eta} \Big[\Big| \vec{E}_{\theta}(r, \theta, \phi) \Big|^2 + \Big| \vec{E}_{\phi}(r, \theta, \phi) \Big|^2 \Big] \\ &\cong \frac{1}{2\eta} \Big[\Big| \vec{E}_{\theta}^0(r, \theta, \phi) \Big|^2 + \Big| \vec{E}_{\phi}^0(r, \theta, \phi) \Big|^2 \Big] \quad (\text{W/steradian}) \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$
where $\vec{E}(r, \theta, \phi) = \vec{E}^0(\theta, \phi) \frac{e^{-jkr}}{r} = \text{far field electric field intensity} \\ E_{\theta}, E_{\phi} = \text{far field electric field components} \\ \text{and} \quad \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned}$
Total Power Radiated
$$P_{rad} = \int_{0}^{2\pi\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi\pi} U(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \quad (\text{W}) \end{aligned}$$

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
 - Basic Concepts
 - Field Regions
 - Near and far field
 - Electromagnetic Field Equations
- Polarization
 - Antenna Directivity and Gain
 - Antenna Input Impedance
 - Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

IEEE AES Society

- Defined by behavior of the electric field vector as it propagates in time as observed along the direction of radiation
- Circular used for weather mitigation
- Horizontal used in long range air search to obtain reinforcement of direct radiation by ground reflection $\xi_{\! \theta}$

Polarization

- "Handed-ness" is defined by observation of electric field along propagation direction
- Used for discrimination, polarization diversity, rain mitigation

- "Handed-ness" is defined by observation of electric field along propagation direction
- Used for discrimination, polarization diversity, rain mitigation

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
 - Basic Concepts
 - Field Regions
 - Near and far field
 - Electromagnetic Field Equations
 - Polarization
- Antenna Directivity and Gain
 - Antenna Input Impedance
 - Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

- Radiation Intensity = $U(\theta, \phi)$ = Power radiated / unit solid angle
- Directivity = Radiation intensity of antenna in given direction over that of an isotropic source radiating same power

$$D(\theta, \phi) = \frac{4\pi U(\theta, \phi)}{P_{rad}} \quad \text{(dimensionless)}$$

- Gain = Radiation intensity of antenna in given direction over that of isotropic source radiating available power
 - Difference between gain and directivity is antenna loss
 - Gain < Directivity $G(\theta, \phi) = \frac{4\pi U(\theta, \phi)}{P_{in}} \quad \text{(dimensionless)}$
 - Maximum Gain = Radiation intensity of antenna at peak of beam

$$G = \frac{4\pi \ A_{eff}}{\lambda^2} = \frac{4\pi \ \eta A}{\lambda^2}$$

A = Area of antenna aperture

$$\eta$$
 = Efficiency of antenna

Example – Half Wavelength Dipole

Radar Systems Course 28 Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
 - Basic Concepts
 - Field Regions
 - Near and far field
 - Electromagnetic Field Equations
 - Polarization
 - Antenna Directivity and Gain
- Antenna Input Impedance
 - Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning

IEEE New Hampshire Section

IEEE AES Society

- Antenna can be modeled as an impedance (ratio of voltage to current at feed port)
 - Antenna "resonant" when impedance purely real
 - Microwave theory can be applied to equivalent circuit
- Design antenna to maximize power transfer from transmission line
 - Reflection of incident power sets up standing wave on line
 - Can result in arching under high power conditions

- Antenna can be modeled as an impedance (ratio of voltage to current at feed port)
 - Antenna "resonant" when impedance purely real
 - Microwave theory can be applied to equivalent circuit
- Design antenna to maximize power transfer from transmission line
 - Reflection of incident power sets up standing wave on line
 - Can result in arching under high power conditions
- Usually a 2:1 VSWR is acceptable

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning
 - Basic Antenna (Reflector) Characteristics and Geometry
 - Spillover and Blockage
 - Aperture Illumination
 - Different Reflector Feeds and Reflector Geometries

Parabolic Reflector Antenna

Antenna Gain vs. Angle

Parabolic Reflector Antenna

Normalized Antenna Gain Pattern

- Reflector antenna design involves a tradeoff between maximizing dish illumination while limiting spillover and blockage from feed and its support structure
- Feed antenna choice is critical

Radar Systems Course 35 Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

Beamwidth decreases as aperture becomes electrically larger (diameter larger number of wavelengths)

•Point source is evolves to plane

Parabolic Reflector Antenna

Reflector Comparison Kwajalein Missile Range Example

ALTAIR 45.7 m diameter

scale by 1/3

MMW 13.7 m diameter

Operating frequency: 162 MHz (VHF) Wavelength λ : 1.85 m

Diameter electrical size: 25 λ

Gain: 34 dB Beamwidth: 2.8 deg

Operating frequency: 35 GHz (Ka) Wavelength λ : 0.0086 m

Diameter electrical size: 1598 λ

Gain: 70 dB Beamwidth: 0.00076 deg

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning
 - Basic Antenna (Reflector) Characteristics and Geometry
- Spillover and Blockage
 - Aperture Illumination
 - Different Reflector Feeds and Reflector Geometries

- Even when the feed is at the exact focus of the parabolic reflector, a portion of the emitted energy at the edge of the beam will not impinge upon the reflector.
- This is called "beam spillover"
- Tapering the feed illumination can mitigate this effect
- As will be seen, optimum antenna performance is a tradeoff between:
 - Beam spillover
 - Tapering of the aperture illumination Antenna gain
 - Feed blockage

Effect of Aperture Blocking in a Parabolic Reflector Antenna

Effect of Aperture Blocking in a Parabolic Reflector Antenna

This procedure is possible because of the linearity of the Fourier transform that relates the antenna aperture illumination and the radiation pattern

> Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission IEEE New Hampshire Section IEEE AES Society

Examples of Antenna Blockage

NASA Tracking Radar

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning
 - Basic Antenna (Reflector) Characteristics and Geometry
 - Spillover and Blockage
 - Aperture Illumination
 - Different Reflector Feeds and Reflector Geometries

Antenna Radiation Pattern from a Line Source

- The aperture Illumination, A(z), is the current a distance Z from the origin (0,0,0), along the z axis
- Assumes $E(\phi)$ is in the far field, $a \gg \lambda$ and $R \gg a^2 / \lambda$
- Note that the electric field is the Inverse Fourier Transform of the Aperture Illumination.

Adapted from Skolnik, Reference 1

Effect of Source Distribution on Antenna Pattern of a Line Source

Antenna Pattern of a Line Source

(with Uniform and Cosine Aperture Illumination)

Illumination of Two-Dimensional Apertures

- Calculation of this integral is non-trivial
 - Numerical techniques used
- Field pattern separable, when aperture illumination separable

$$A(x, y) = A_x(x)A_y(y)$$

 Problem reduces to two 1 dimensional calculations

$$\mathbf{E}(\theta,\phi) = \iint \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{e}^{[(2\pi \mathbf{j}/\lambda)\sin\theta(\mathbf{x}\cos\phi+\mathbf{y}\sin\phi)]} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}$$

- Use cylindrical coordinates, field intensity independent of
- Half power beamwidth (degrees) = $58.5(\lambda/a)$, first sidelobe = 17.5 dB
- Tapering of the aperture will broaden the beamwidth and lower the sidelobes

Adapted from Skolnik, Reference 1

Radiation Pattern Characteristics for Various Aperture Distributions

Type of Distribution $ \mathbf{z} < 1$	<u>Gain Relative</u>	Beamwidth	Intensity, 1 st Sidelobe
Uniform : $A(z) = 1$	1.0	51 λ/D	13.2
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline Cosine: \\ n=0 \\ n=1 \\ n=2 \\ n=3 \end{array} A(z) = cos^{n}(\pi z/2) \\ \hline Heavier Taper \\ \cdot Lowers sidelobes \\ \cdot Increases beamwidt \\ \cdot Lowers directivity \end{array}$	1.0 0.810 0.667 0.515	51 λ/D 69 λ/D 83 λ/D 95 λ/D	13.2 Uniform distribution always has 32 13 dB sidelobe 40
Parabolic: $A(z) = 1 - (1 - \Delta) z^2$ $\Delta = 0.8$ $\Delta = 0.5$ $\Delta = 0$	1.0 0.994 0.970 0.833	51 λ/D 53 λ/D 56 λ/D 66 λ/D	13.2 15.8 17.1 20.6
<u>Triangular</u> : $A(z) = 1 - z $	0.75	73 λ/D	26.4
<u>Circular</u> : $A(z) = \sqrt{1-z^2}$	0.865	58.5 λ/D	17.6
$\frac{\text{Cosine-squared + pedestal}}{0.33 + 0.66 \cos^2(\pi z/2)}$	0.88	63 λ/D	25.7
$0.08 + 0.92 \cos^2(\pi z/2)$ (Hammir	ng) 0.74	76.5 λ/D	42.8
		Adapted from Skolnik, Reference 1	
Radar Systems Course 51			IEEE AES Society

Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

Taper Efficiency, Spillover, Blockage, and Total Loss vs. Feed Pattern Edge Taper

Reflector Design is a Tradeoff of Aperture Illumination (Taper) Efficiency, Spillover and Feed Blockage

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning
 - Basic Antenna (Reflector) Characteristics and Geometry
 - Spillover and Blockage
 - Aperture Illumination

- Different Reflector Feeds and Reflector Geometries
 - Feed Horns
 - Cassegrain Reflector Geometry
 - **Different Shaped Beam Geometries**
 - Scanning Feed Reflectors

- Simple flared pyramidal (TE₀₁) and conical (TE₁₁) horns used for pencil beam, single mode applications
- Corrugated, compound, and finned horns are used in more complex applications
 - Polarization diversity, ultra low sidelobes, high beam efficiency, etc.
- Segmented horns are used for monopulse applications

Adapted from Cooley in Skolnik, Reference 4

Radar Systems Course 55 Antennas Part 1 1/1/2010

- Lower waveguide loss because feed is not at the focus of the paraboloid, but near the dish.
- Antenna noise temperature is lower than with conventional feed at focus of the paraboloid
 - Length of waveguide from antenna feed to receiver is shorter
 - Sidelobe spillover from feed see colder sky rather than warmer earth
- Good choice for monopulse tracking
 - Complex monopulse microwave plumbing may be placed behind reflector to avoid the effects of aperture blocking

ALTAIR- Example of Cassegrain Feed

ALTAIR Antenna

Dual Frequency Radar

- Antenna size 120 ft.
- VHF parabolic feed
- UHF Cassegrain feed
- Frequency Selective Surface (FSS) used for reflector at UHF

ALTAIR Antenna Feed

- This "saucer" is a dichroic FFS that is reflective at UHF and transparent at VHF. The "teacup" to its right is the cover for a five horn VHF feed, located at the antenna's focal point.
- The FSS sub-reflector is composed of two layers of crossed dipoles

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

Antennas with Cosecant-Squared Pattern

- Air surveillance coverage of a simple fan beam is usually inadequate for aircraft targets at high altitude and short range
 - Simple fan beam radiates very little energy at high altitude
- One technique Use fan beam with shape proportional to the 内 square of the cosecant of the elevation angle
 - Gain constant for a given altitude
- Gain pattern:
 - $G(\theta) = G(\theta_1) \csc^2 \theta / \csc^2 \theta_1$ for $\theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$

Antenna Pattern with Cosecant-Squared Beam Shaping

Ray Trace for csc² Antenna Pattern

FAA ASR Radars Use csc² Antenna Reflector Shaping

ASR-9 Antenna

- Notice that a vertical array of feeds results in a set of "stacked beams"
 - Can be used to measure height of target

TPS-43 Radar

- Stacked beam surveillance radars can cost effectively measure height of target, while simultaneously performing the surveillance function
- This radar, which was developed in the 1970s, under went a number of antenna upgrade in the 1990s (TPS-70, TPS-75)
 - Antenna was replaced with a slotted waveguide array, which performs the same functions, and in addition has very low sidelobes

TPS-43 Radar

TPS-78 Antenna

- Stacked beam surveillance radars can cost effectively measure height of target, while simultaneously performing the surveillance function
- This radar, which was developed in the 1970s, was replaced in the 1990s with a technologically modern version of the radar.
 - New antenna, a slotted waveguide array, has all of the same functionality as TPS-43 dish, but in addition, has very low antenna sidelobes

- Scanning of the radar beam over a limited angle with a fixed reflector and a movable feed
 - Paraboloid antenna cannot be scanned too far without deterioration

Gain of antenna, with f/D=.25, reduced to 80%when beam scanned 3 beamwidths off axis

 Wide angle scans in one dimension can be obtained with a parabolic torus configuration

Beam is generated by moving feed along circle whose radius is 1/2 that of torus circle

Scan angle limited to about 120 deg

Economical way to rapidly scan beam of very large antennas over wide scan angles

Organ pipe scanner

Mechanically scan feed between many fixed feeds

Examples of Scanning Feed Reflector Configuration

IEEE AES Society

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

BMEWS Site, Clear, Alaska

Courtesy of MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Used with permission

- Discussion of antenna parameters
 - Gain
 - Sidelobes
 - Beamwidth
 - Variation with antenna aperture size and wavelength
 - Polarization

Horizontal, Vertical, Circular

- Mechanical scanning antennas offer an inexpensive method of achieving radar beam agility
 - Slow to moderate angular velocity and acceleration
- Different types of mechanical scanning antennas
 - Parabolic reflectors
 - Cassegrain and offset feeds
 - Stacked beams
- Antenna Issues
 - Aperture illumination
 - Antenna blockage and beam spillover

- From Skolnik, Reference 2
 - Problem 2.20
 - Problems 9.2, 9.4, 9.5, and 9.8

- Introduction
- Antenna Fundamentals
- Reflector Antennas Mechanical Scanning
- Phased Array Antennas
 - Frequency Scanning of Antennas
 - Hybrid Methods of Scanning
 - Other Topics

- Dr. Pamela Evans
- Dr Alan J. Fenn

- 1. Balanis, C. A., *Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design*, Wiley, New York, 3rd Ed., 2005
- 2. Skolnik, M., *Introduction to Radar Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 3rd Ed., 2001
- 3. Mailloux, R. J., *Phased Array Antenna Handbook*, Artech House, Norwood, MA, 1994
- 4. Skolnik, M., *Radar Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 3rd Ed., 2008
- 5. Skolnik, M., *Radar Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2nd Ed., 2008
- 6. Kraus, J.D. et. al., Antennas, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1993.
- 7. Ulaby, F. T., *Fundamentals of Applied Electromagnetics*, 5th Ed., Pearson, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2007